Elekta

2024 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2024

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions
This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.
Terms of disclosure for corporate questionnaire 2024 - CDP

.

04/09/2025, 06:47 am

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

☑ English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

☑ SEK

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

☑ Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

More than 4,700 employees worldwide are committed to ensuring everyone in the world with cancer has access to – and benefits from – more precise, personalized radiotherapy treatments. We are driven by generating value for our customers and ultimately help clinics and hospitals to improve and save the lives of more patients. Our commitment is built on a combination of curiosity, innovation and proximity to our customers. We are proud that we are the leading innovator in precision radiation medicine. Elekta's corporate culture is based on openness, corporate responsibility and the company's values. Our values act as motivation and inspiration for our employees, managers and for the organization as a whole. Headquartered in Stockholm, Sweden, Elekta AB is listed on NASDAQ Stockholm Exchange. [Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

	End date of reporting year	Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period	Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years
	04/29/2024	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

18119000000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

SE0012194082 SE0016274260 SE0016274278

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

SE0000163628

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

28617Y1013

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

EKTA-B.ST

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

B2904N56

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

54930044O54BK617EP807

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

35-726-39098

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ Egypt
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Serbia
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Germany
☑ Romania
☑ Ukraine
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovakia
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ Switzerland
☑ South Africa
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Greece
☑ Algeria
☑ Austria
☑ Belgium
☑ Czechia
☑ Finland
☑ Thailand
☑ Viet Nam
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ Russian Federation
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

☑ Tier 4+ suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

☑ All supplier tiers known have been mapped

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

We have created a process to map the supply chain for medical devices in at least one of our business lines. This is a mapping of all the supply chain upstream. The mapping covers suppliers in tier 1, tier 2 and higher if needed based on our risk assessment.
[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

1

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

This time horizon is linked to sustainability related impacts, risks and opportunities. Other definitions may be applied in financial/strategic contexts.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

2

(2.1.3) To (years)

9

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

This time horizon is linked to sustainability related impacts, risks and opportunities. Other definitions may be applied in financial/strategic contexts.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

10

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

This time horizon is linked to sustainability related impacts, risks and opportunities. Other definitions may be applied in financial/strategic contexts.
[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

(2.2.1) Process in place

Select from:

☑ Yes

(2.2.2) Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process

Select from:

☑ Impacts only

(2.2.4) Primary reason for not evaluating dependencies and/or impacts

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(2.2.5) Explain why you do not evaluate dependencies and/or impacts and describe any plans to do so in the future

Currently not an immediate strategic priority
[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ Both risks and opportunities	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply
☑ Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Impacts
☑ Risks
☑ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply
☑ Direct operations
☑ Upstream value chain
☑ Downstream value chain
☑ End of life management

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:
☑ Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply
☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:
☑ Qualitative only

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:
☑ Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ Site-specific

☑ National

☑ Not location specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Other

☑ Internal company methods

☑ Materiality assessment

☑ Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

☑ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)

Policy

☑ Carbon pricing mechanisms

☑ Changes to international law and bilateral agreements

☑ Changes to national legislation

Reputation

☑ Impact on human health

Technology

☑ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

Liability

☑ Exposure to litigation

☑ Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

☑ Customers

☑ Employees

☑ Suppliers

☑ Regulators

☑ Local communities

☑ Indigenous peoples

☑ Other, please specify **:Patients**

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

We have developed a process for conducting a double materiality assessment as part of our efforts to comply with ESRS.
[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

☑ Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

The impacts identified as material in the Impact Materiality Assessment are analyzed in an internal workshop to determine the potential financial risks and opportunities.
[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Frequency of effect occurring

☑ Time horizon over which the effect occurs

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

Material financial risks and opportunities: Risks and opportunities score 3 or higher in both of the following scales: Rating Financial impact 5 Financial impact 10% of profit after tax 4 Financial impact 5-10% of profit after tax 3 Financial impact 1-5% of profit after tax 2 Financial impact 90 % probability long-term 4 50-90 % probability long-term 3 15-50 % probability long-term 2 5-15 % probability long-term 1

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Frequency of effect occurring

☑ Time horizon over which the effect occurs

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

Material financial risks and opportunities: Risks and opportunities score 3 or higher in both of the following scales: Rating Financial impact 5 Financial impact 10% of profit after tax 4 Financial impact 5-10% of profit after tax 3 Financial impact 1-5% of profit after tax 2 Financial impact 90 % probability long-term 4 50-90 % probability long-term 3 15-50 % probability long-term 2 5-15 % probability long-term 1
[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Plastics

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(3.1.3) Please explain

Not identified as substantive risk
[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☑ Carbon pricing mechanisms

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China

☑ Netherlands

☑ Sweden

☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Supplier costs may increase due to taxes or other fees related to their carbon emissions. These additional costs could be passed on to us in the prices we pay for goods and services. Examples include higher transportation costs for delivering components from suppliers to us, or business travel expenses for Elekta employees.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The effect has not been quantified financially

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Diversification

☑ Other diversification, please specify **:**Diversify supplier solutions

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

The effect has not been quantified financially

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We actively engage and collaborate with our suppliers to explore alternative, lower-carbon solutions. One example is our work with our logistics partner to transition to lower-carbon transportation options, such as reducing air travel in favor of more sea freight.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☑ Changes to regulation of existing products and services

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China

☑ Netherlands
☑ Sweden
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Certain materials contained in our products may be subject to updated regulations, such as changes in the scope of the EU's environmental legislation. These regulations may impose restrictions on chemicals, pollutants, and materials, potentially limiting our access to them and increasing costs. Such regulatory changes could impact our supply chain and the prices we pay for these materials.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:
☑ Increased direct costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply
☑ Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:
☑ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:
☑ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The effect has not been quantified financially

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

☑ Engage in multi-stakeholder initiatives

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

The effect has not been quantified financially

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We participate in external networks and trade associations, such as COCIR, to stay ahead of emerging environmental regulations. Our Global Product Sustainability team analyses the environmental impact of Elekta's products, focusing on CO2-intensive materials like tungsten and reusable parts in modular assemblies. This helps us identify and explore circular improvement opportunities.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Technology

☑ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China

☑ Netherlands

☑ Sweden

☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Increased R&D costs present a financial risk due to the high initial investment required for developing new technologies and extended development timelines, leading to prolonged periods of high expenditure before any return on investment is realized. There is also an inherent uncertainty of R&D outcomes, where there is no guarantee of viable solutions. Additionally, meeting stringent environmental regulations often necessitates further R&D efforts to ensure compliance, driving up costs, while adapting production processes and supply chains to new technologies adds another layer of complexity and expense.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The effect has not been quantified financially

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

☑ Introduce/strengthen environmental incentives

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

The effect has not been quantified financially

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We have implemented Environmentally Conscious Design requirements across products to drive and promote CO2 equivalent impact reduction. Our goal is to make products that consider the entire product lifecycle footprint. We make design decisions based on significant requirements related to energy use, material selection and quantity, modularity for upgradability, and repairability and serviceability. Additionally, software upgrades can contribute to extending product life, ensuring sustainability and cost-effectiveness.
[Add row]

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.

Climate change

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:
☑ CAPEX

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

78000000

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ 1-10%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

78000000

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ 1-10%

(3.1.2.6) Amount of CAPEX in the reporting year deployed towards risks related to this environmental issue

8700000

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

These figures are CAPEX from products or services associated with Taxonomy-aligned economic activities for 2023/24. See page 97 in Elekta's Annual Report for more information.

Climate change

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:
☑ OPEX

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

25810000

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ 1-10%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

25810000

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ 1-10%

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

These figures are OPEX from products or services associated with Taxonomy-aligned economic activities for 2023/24. See page 98 in Elekta's Annual Report for more information.
[Add row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not anticipate being regulated in the next three years

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

	Environmental opportunities identified
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

☑ Ability to diversify business activities

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China

☑ Netherlands

☑ Sweden

☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

☑ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

We see significant business opportunities in expanding our circular approach and takeback program. By developing business opportunities centered on circular principles, we can decouple economic value creation from the consumption of finite resources.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Medium-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The effect has not been quantified financially

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

The effect has not been quantified financially

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Our goal is to make products that consider the entire product lifecycle footprint. We make design decisions based on significant requirements related to energy use, material selection and quantity, modularity for upgradability, and repairability and serviceability. Additionally, software upgrades can contribute to extending product

life. One example is our focus on product circularity opportunities within our Linac Solutions area. In 2023/24, our ongoing parts refurbishment program identified more components suitable for refurbishment at the end of life of our products' life.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp2

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency

☑ Use of recycling

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China

☑ Netherlands

☑ Sweden

☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

☑ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

We want to reduce and reuse product packaging, and ultimately minimise packaging waste for customers.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Reduced direct costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The effect has not been quantified financially

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

The effect has not been quantified financially

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Elekta is committed to reducing product packaging waste. Current initiatives include redesigning packaging cases for Linacs and patient support systems. Additionally, Elekta is reusing materials and transitioning to more environmentally efficient options, such as replacing soft wood with plywood. This change not only reduces our packaging footprint but also lowers transport emissions due to the decreased size and weight of the packaging materials.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp3

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency

☑ Use of more efficient modes of transport

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China

☑ Netherlands

☑ Sweden

☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

☑ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

We want to use lower-carbon logistic options and increase the efficiency of transportation.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Reduced indirect (operating) costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The effect has not been quantified financially

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

The effect has not been quantified financially

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

To reduce our transportation emissions, Elekta has worked with logistics partners to gather more detailed emissions data. This effort enhances our understanding of our environmental footprint and helps identify key areas for efficiencies, emissions reduction and smarter logistics planning. Additionally, we promote sea freight over air freight to further minimize our environmental impact.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp4

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

☑ Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China

☑ Netherlands

☑ Sweden
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

We want to develop more efficient products that have a lower environmental impact (eg, lower energy consumption) to serve changing customer requirements.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:
☑ Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply
☑ Medium-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:
☑ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:
☑ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The effect has not been quantified financially

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

The effect has not been quantified financially

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Our goal is to maximize the positive social impact of our solutions in terms of care provided, while minimizing their environmental footprint. This is managed at the product development stage by setting meaningful requirements that drive inherent design choices. These requirements focus on low energy usage, materials selection, and modular design, which enhance upgradability, repairability, and serviceability to maximize product value.
[Add row]

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.

Climate change

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☑ Revenue

(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

We have not yet determined how environmental opportunities will be reflected in Elekta's financial metrics such as revenue.
[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☑ Non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☑ Yes, but it is not publicly available

(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

Our policy aims to ensure a balanced and diverse composition of the Board, with a focus on gender, ethnicity, experience, and professional backgrounds; and is aligned with Elekta's broader Diversity & Inclusion strategy and is implemented through our nomination process, which prioritizes diversity as a key consideration in Board appointments.
[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue	Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue	Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:*	*Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]*
Biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	*Select from:* ☑ Judged to be unimportant or not relevant	*Biodiversity was determined to be an immaterial topic for Elekta in the company's double materiality assessment.*

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Board chair

☑ Director on board

☑ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Board mandate

☑ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify **:**Elekta policies approved by Board

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Scheduled agenda item in every board meeting (standing agenda item)

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets

☑ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets

☑ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments

☑ Reviewing and guiding innovation/R&D priorities

☑ Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives

☑ Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Sustainability is a core component of Elekta's ACCESS 2025 strategy, ensuring its effective management and alignment with strategic goals. Elekta's environment policy mandates environmental targets and reporting to the Group Sustainability Director and VP of Group Strategy and Sustainability, who report to the CEO, Compensation and Sustainability Committee (CSC), and Audit Committee. These committees report to the Board, making it ultimately responsible of Elekta's sustainability program. The CSC, appointed by the Board, includes Board and executive members. It aims to maximize shareholder and customer value through fairness, equity, and sustainability. The CSC oversees compensation and sustainability, reviewing Elekta's environmental and social efforts quarterly. The Audit Committee (AC) similarly consists of Board and executive members. The AC monitors sustainability reporting and compliance quarterly.
[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☑ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue	Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues	Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:*	*Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]*
Biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	*Select from:* ☑ Judged to be unimportant or not relevant	*Biodiversity was determined to be an immaterial topic for Elekta in the company's double materiality assessment.*

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Sustainability is a core component of Elekta's ACCESS 2025 strategy, ensuring its effective management and alignment with strategic goals. The Board of Directors oversees Elekta's sustainability program, with the CEO reporting on major issues.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

☑ Sustainability committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Other

☑ Providing employee incentives related to environmental performance

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Board's Compensation and Sustainability Committee is responsible for overseeing compensation and sustainability matters. The CSC monitors and discusses Elekta's environmental and social efforts at every quarterly meeting and receives regular reports from the Sustainability function.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

☑ Other committee, please specify **:**Audit committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Board's Audit Committee monitors and discusses Elekta's sustainability reporting and compliance matters at every quarterly meeting.
[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

10

(4.5.3) Please explain

Management remuneration, including that of Executive Management (C-suite), comprises a balanced mix of fixed salary, variable pay, long-term incentives, pension benefits, and additional perks. Compensation is reviewed annually. The short-term incentive (STI) program, which functions as an annual bonus, incorporates an ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) metric that accounts for 5-10% of the total bonus. The specific performance metrics, and therefore the ESG metric weighting, are determined by the reporting line.
[Fixed row]

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Short-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual annual bonus)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The short-term incentive (STI) program, which functions as an annual bonus, incorporates an ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) metric that accounts for 5-10% of the total bonus. The specific performance metrics, and therefore the ESG metric weighting, are determined by the reporting line.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Including sustainability in Elekta's short-term incentive program increases executives' focus on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) goals alongside financial performance. This alignment drives long-term value creation, mitigates risks, and meets stakeholder expectations. By tying bonuses to ESG metrics, Elekta fosters a culture of responsibility and innovation, enhancing its reputation and overall competitiveness in the healthcare technology sector.

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☑ Corporate executive team

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Short-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual annual bonus)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The short-term incentive (STI) program, which functions as an annual bonus, incorporates an ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) metric that accounts for 5-10% of the total bonus. The specific performance metrics, and therefore the ESG metric weighting, are determined by the reporting line.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Including sustainability in Elekta's short-term incentive program increases executives' focus on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) goals alongside financial performance. This alignment drives long-term value creation, mitigates risks, and meets stakeholder expectations. By tying bonuses to ESG metrics, Elekta fosters a culture of responsibility and innovation, enhancing its reputation and overall competitiveness in the healthcare technology sector.

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Senior-mid management

☑ Management group

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Short-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual annual bonus)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The short-term incentive (STI) program, which functions as an annual bonus, incorporates an ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) metric that accounts for 5-10% of the total bonus. The specific performance metrics, and therefore the ESG metric weighting, are determined by the reporting line.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Including sustainability in Elekta's short-term incentive program increases executives' focus on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) goals alongside financial performance. This alignment drives long-term value creation, mitigates risks, and meets stakeholder expectations. By tying bonuses to ESG metrics, Elekta fosters a culture of responsibility and innovation, enhancing its reputation and overall competitiveness in the healthcare technology sector.
[Add row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply
☑ Climate change

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:
☑ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations
☑ Upstream value chain
☑ Downstream value chain
☑ Portfolio

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Our environmental policy ensures that Elekta embeds environmental considerations into its business operations. Elekta strives to manage its environmental impacts throughout the value chain and the life cycle of delivering its products and services, to meet its long-term environmental ambitions and sustainability goals.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☑ Commitment to a circular economy strategy
☑ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

Additional references/Descriptions

☑ Description of environmental requirements for procurement
☑ Reference to timebound environmental milestones and targets

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☑ Yes, in line with the Paris Agreement
☑ Yes, in line with another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify :UN Sustainable Development Goals

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☑ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

Elekta-Environment-Policy.pdf
[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply
☑ Science-Based Targets Initiative (SBTi)
☑ UN Global Compact

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

Elekta is a member of the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC) and our emission reduction targets are validated by the Science-Based Target initiative (SBTi).
[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply
☑ Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ No, but we plan to have one in the next two years

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.11.6) Types of transparency register your organization is registered on

Select all that apply

☑ Mandatory government register

(4.11.7) Disclose the transparency registers on which your organization is registered & the relevant ID numbers for your organization

EU (767983647159-13) & US

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

Elekta is working on environmental engagement activities through 3rd parties primarily (beside global health) and this work is structured through committees with representatives from Elekta which are experts engaged in our internal environmental work within their respective areas.
[Fixed row]

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.

Row 1

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☑ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

☑ Other trade association in Europe, please specify **:**European Coordination Committee of the Radiological, Electromedical and Healthcare IT Industry (COCIR)

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☑ Mixed

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

COCIR, as an association, do not have a published position on the topic of climate change. COCIR promote sustainability and help companies to share best practices and to join forces to achieve sectorial goals.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

1300000

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

Annual membership fee

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:
☑ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is not aligned
[Add row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:
☑ In mainstream reports, in line with environmental disclosure standards or frameworks

(4.12.1.2) Standard or framework the report is in line with

Select all that apply

☑ GRI

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☑ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Risks & Opportunities

☑ Strategy

☑ Value chain engagement

☑ Emissions figures

☑ Emission targets

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Sustainability Notes on pages 75-101, including the Auditor's Report

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

Elekta-annual-report-2023-24.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

n/a

[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

☑ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

(5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

We are exploring the integration of climate-related scenario analysis into our strategy and target-setting process to ensure full alignment with our operational practices.
[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have a climate transition plan which aligns with a 1.5°C world

(5.2.3) Publicly available climate transition plan

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.2.4) Plan explicitly commits to cease all spending on, and revenue generation from, activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to add an explicit commitment within the next two years

(5.2.6) Explain why your organization does not explicitly commit to cease all spending on and revenue generation from activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

Elekta's primary focus is on providing cost-effective, sustainable, and lifesaving cancer treatment to people worldwide.

(5.2.7) Mechanism by which feedback is collected from shareholders on your climate transition plan

Select from:

☑ We do not have a feedback mechanism in place, but we plan to introduce one within the next two years

(5.2.10) Description of key assumptions and dependencies on which the transition plan relies

Our transition plan is based on a comprehensive analysis that includes Elekta's view of the future radiotherapy medical device market, as well as projections regarding supply chain evolution, infrastructure development, and customer demand for more sustainable products.

(5.2.11) Description of progress against transition plan disclosed in current or previous reporting period

We report on the progress against our SBTs across scope 1, 2 and 3 in our Annual Report, pages 75-101.

(5.2.12) Attach any relevant documents which detail your climate transition plan (optional)

Elekta-annual-report-2023-24.pdf

(5.2.13) Other environmental issues that your climate transition plan considers

Select all that apply

☑ No other environmental issue considered
[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:
☑ Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply
☑ Products and services
☑ Upstream/downstream value chain
☑ Investment in R&D
☑ Operations
[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply
☑ Risks
☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Elekta procures materials and components for its manufacturing process with considerations on sustainable consumption and production, aligning with Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 12. We manage materials sustainably throughout our value chain, from sourcing to end-of-life product handling, to minimize environmental impact. Our goal is to make products that consider the entire product lifecycle footprint. We make design decisions based on key requirements such as energy use, material selection and quantity, modularity for upgradability, and repairability. Software upgrades also contribute to extending product life.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Elekta's Sustainable Sourcing Program (SSP) encompasses both direct and indirect suppliers across all business lines. This ESG due diligence and assessment program addresses a wide range of sustainability requirements. It aims to identify and mitigate any nonconformances with Elekta's Supplier Code of Conduct, while expanding our understanding of supply chain ESG impacts. Additionally, we have set science-based targets (SBTs) to reduce emissions across scope 1, 2 and 3. One of these targets drives change throughout the value chain by engaging our suppliers to establish their own science-based targets.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Elekta procures materials and components for its manufacturing process with considerations on sustainable consumption and production, aligning with Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 12. We manage materials sustainably throughout our value chain, from sourcing to end-of-life product handling, to minimize environmental impact. Our goal is to make products that consider the entire product lifecycle footprint. We make design decisions based on key requirements such as energy use, material selection and quantity, modularity for upgradability, and repairability. Software upgrades also contribute to extending product life.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Elekta integrates sustainability into our operations by committing to reduce greenhouse gas emissions across our value chain. We have set science-based targets (SBTs) to reduce emissions across scope 1, 2 and 3. Elekta has an ambition to reduce scope 1 and 2 emissions by 46.2% by end 2031/32, base year 2021/22, and to transition to 100% renewable electricity by end of calendar year 2030.
[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

☑ Access to capital

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Elekta is expanding on its strategy in sustainable finance. In 2021, Elekta issued a sustainability-linked bond with a social responsibility KPI, aimed at increasing global access to cancer care in underserved markets. In 2023, Elekta also signed a EUR 250 million sustainability-linked revolving credit facility, with fees tied to social and environmental KPIs, such as reducing scope 1 and 2 emissions, increasing suppliers with science-based targets, and improving access to linacs in underserved markets.
[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition	Methodology or framework used to assess alignment with your organization's climate transition	Indicate the level at which you identify the alignment of your spending/revenue with a sustainable finance taxonomy
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ A sustainable finance taxonomy	*Select from:* ☑ At both the organization and activity level

[Fixed row]

(5.4.1) Quantify the amount and percentage share of your spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition.

Row 1

(5.4.1.1) Methodology or framework used to assess alignment

Select from:

☑ A sustainable finance taxonomy

(5.4.1.2) Taxonomy under which information is being reported

Select from:

☑ EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Activities

(5.4.1.3) Objective under which alignment is being reported

Select from:

☑ Total across climate change mitigation and climate change adaption

(5.4.1.4) Indicate whether you are reporting eligibility information for the selected objective

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.1.5) Financial metric

Select from:

☑ Revenue/Turnover

(5.4.1.6) Amount of selected financial metric that is aligned in the reporting year (currency)

0

(5.4.1.7) Percentage share of selected financial metric aligned in the reporting year (%)

0

(5.4.1.8) Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align in 2025 (%)

0

(5.4.1.9) Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align in 2030 (%)

0

(5.4.1.10) Percentage share of financial metric that is taxonomy-eligible in the reporting year (%)

0

(5.4.1.11) Percentage share of financial metric that is taxonomy non-eligible in the reporting year (%)

100

(5.4.1.12) Details of the methodology or framework used to assess alignment with your organization’s climate transition

For fiscal year 2023/24, Elekta analyzed its alignment with the criteria for taxonomy-eligible activities. To be taxonomy-aligned, activities must contribute to at least one environmental objective, meet the technical screening criteria, do no significant harm to other objectives, and comply with minimum safeguards. Elekta identified a small proportion of its activities as taxonomy-aligned, including leasing electric and hybrid cars, and installing, maintaining, and repairing energy efficiency

equipment. The minimum safeguards focus on human rights, corruption, competition, and tax, requiring robust processes and compliance controls. Elekta broadly complies with these safeguards, having relevant policies, a Code of Conduct, and supply chain processes, including a Supplier Code of Conduct. However, Elekta acknowledges the need for continuous development of its policies and procedures to ensure all minimum safeguards are met across its value chain.

Row 2

(5.4.1.1) Methodology or framework used to assess alignment

Select from:

☑ A sustainable finance taxonomy

(5.4.1.2) Taxonomy under which information is being reported

Select from:

☑ EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Activities

(5.4.1.3) Objective under which alignment is being reported

Select from:

☑ Total across climate change mitigation and climate change adaption

(5.4.1.4) Indicate whether you are reporting eligibility information for the selected objective

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.1.5) Financial metric

Select from:

☑ CAPEX

(5.4.1.6) Amount of selected financial metric that is aligned in the reporting year (currency)

86600000

(5.4.1.7) Percentage share of selected financial metric aligned in the reporting year (%)

3.5

(5.4.1.8) Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align in 2025 (%)

3.5

(5.4.1.9) Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align in 2030 (%)

3.5

(5.4.1.10) Percentage share of financial metric that is taxonomy-eligible in the reporting year (%)

3.5

(5.4.1.11) Percentage share of financial metric that is taxonomy non-eligible in the reporting year (%)

96.5

(5.4.1.12) Details of the methodology or framework used to assess alignment with your organization’s climate transition

For fiscal year 2023/24, Elekta analyzed its alignment with the criteria for taxonomy-eligible activities. To be taxonomy-aligned, activities must contribute to at least one environmental objective, meet the technical screening criteria, do no significant harm to other objectives, and comply with minimum safeguards. Elekta identified a small proportion of its activities as taxonomy-aligned, including leasing electric and hybrid cars, and installing, maintaining, and repairing energy efficiency equipment. The minimum safeguards focus on human rights, corruption, competition, and tax, requiring robust processes and compliance controls. Elekta broadly complies with these safeguards, having relevant policies, a Code of Conduct, and supply chain processes, including a Supplier Code of Conduct. However, Elekta acknowledges the need for continuous development of its policies and procedures to ensure all minimum safeguards are met across its value chain.

Row 3

(5.4.1.1) Methodology or framework used to assess alignment

Select from:

☑ A sustainable finance taxonomy

(5.4.1.2) Taxonomy under which information is being reported

Select from:

☑ EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Activities

(5.4.1.3) Objective under which alignment is being reported

Select from:

☑ Total across climate change mitigation and climate change adaption

(5.4.1.4) Indicate whether you are reporting eligibility information for the selected objective

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.1.5) Financial metric

Select from:

☑ OPEX

(5.4.1.6) Amount of selected financial metric that is aligned in the reporting year (currency)

34410000

(5.4.1.7) Percentage share of selected financial metric aligned in the reporting year (%)

5.6

(5.4.1.8) Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align in 2025 (%)

5.6

(5.4.1.9) Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align in 2030 (%)

5.6

(5.4.1.10) Percentage share of financial metric that is taxonomy-eligible in the reporting year (%)

5.6

(5.4.1.11) Percentage share of financial metric that is taxonomy non-eligible in the reporting year (%)

94.4

(5.4.1.12) Details of the methodology or framework used to assess alignment with your organization’s climate transition

For fiscal year 2023/24, Elekta analyzed its alignment with the criteria for taxonomy-eligible activities. To be taxonomy-aligned, activities must contribute to at least one environmental objective, meet the technical screening criteria, do no significant harm to other objectives, and comply with minimum safeguards. Elekta identified a small proportion of its activities as taxonomy-aligned, including leasing electric and hybrid cars, and installing, maintaining, and repairing energy efficiency equipment. The minimum safeguards focus on human rights, corruption, competition, and tax, requiring robust processes and compliance controls. Elekta broadly complies with these safeguards, having relevant policies, a Code of Conduct, and supply chain processes, including a Supplier Code of Conduct. However, Elekta acknowledges the need for continuous development of its policies and procedures to ensure all minimum safeguards are met across its value chain.
[Add row]

(5.4.2) Quantify the percentage share of your spending/revenue that was associated with eligible and aligned activities under the sustainable finance taxonomy in the reporting year.

Row 1

(5.4.2.1) Economic activity

Select from:

☑ Transport by motorbikes, passenger cars and light commercial vehicles

(5.4.2.2) Taxonomy under which information is being reported

Select from:

☑ EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Activities

(5.4.2.3) Taxonomy alignment

Select from:

☑ Taxonomy-aligned

(5.4.2.4) Financial metrics

Select all that apply

☑ CAPEX

☑ OPEX

(5.4.2.5) Types of substantial contribution

Select all that apply

☑ Activity enabling mitigation

(5.4.2.13) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity in the reporting year (currency)

8600000

(5.4.2.14) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity as % of total CAPEX in the reporting year

0.4

(5.4.2.15) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change mitigation as a % of total CAPEX in the reporting year

0.4

(5.4.2.16) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change adaptation as a % of total CAPEX in the reporting year

0

(5.4.2.20) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity in the reporting year (currency)

8600000

(5.4.2.21) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity as % of total OPEX in the reporting year

1.4

(5.4.2.22) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change mitigation as a % of total OPEX in the reporting year

1.4

(5.4.2.23) Taxonomy-aligned OPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change adaptation as a % of total OPEX in the reporting year

0

(5.4.2.27) Calculation methodology and supporting information

Company invoice data was mapped to relevant NACE codes, green purchases were identified and compared to the total Turnover, Opex and Capex.

(5.4.2.28) Substantial contribution criteria met

Select from:
☑ Yes

(5.4.2.29) Details of substantial contribution criteria analysis

Vehicles: electric and (plug-in) hybrids were counted as sustainable.

(5.4.2.30) Do no significant harm requirements met

Select from:
☑ Yes

(5.4.2.31) Details of do no significant harm analysis

Elekta does not deem any of its activities to cause harm to other environmental objectives.

(5.4.2.32) Minimum safeguards compliance requirements met

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.4.2.33) Attach any supporting evidence

Elekta-annual-report-2023-24.pdf

Row 2

(5.4.2.1) Economic activity

Select from:

☑ Installation, maintenance and repair of energy efficiency equipment

(5.4.2.2) Taxonomy under which information is being reported

Select from:

☑ EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Activities

(5.4.2.3) Taxonomy alignment

Select from:

☑ Taxonomy-aligned

(5.4.2.4) Financial metrics

Select all that apply

☑ CAPEX

(5.4.2.5) Types of substantial contribution

Select all that apply

☑ Activity enabling mitigation

(5.4.2.13) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity in the reporting year (currency)

100000

(5.4.2.14) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity as % of total CAPEX in the reporting year

0

(5.4.2.15) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change mitigation as a % of total CAPEX in the reporting year

0

(5.4.2.16) Taxonomy-aligned CAPEX from this activity that substantially contributed to climate change adaptation as a % of total CAPEX in the reporting year

0

(5.4.2.27) Calculation methodology and supporting information

Company invoice data was mapped to relevant NACE codes, green purchases were identified and compared to the total Turnover, Opex and Capex.

(5.4.2.28) Substantial contribution criteria met

Select from:
☑ Yes

(5.4.2.29) Details of substantial contribution criteria analysis

Keyword search in invoices

(5.4.2.30) Do no significant harm requirements met

Select from:
☑ Yes

(5.4.2.31) Details of do no significant harm analysis

Elekta does not deem any of its activities to cause harm to other environmental objectives.

(5.4.2.32) Minimum safeguards compliance requirements met

Select from:
☑ Yes

(5.4.2.33) Attach any supporting evidence

Elekta-annual-report-2023-24.pdf
[Add row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

	Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities	Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities	Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities
	Select from: ☑ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years	*Select from:* ☑ Not an immediate strategic priority	*This is not an immediate strategic priority*

[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

Suppliers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

Customers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

Investors and shareholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

Other value chain stakeholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.11.3) Primary reason for not engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.11.4) Explain why you do not engage with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Suppliers, customers and investors/shareholders are our prioritized stakeholders.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

	Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ No, we do not currently assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, but we plan to do so within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Material sourcing

☑ Procurement spend

☑ Regulatory compliance

☑ Strategic status of suppliers

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

Elekta's supplier engagement program prioritizes suppliers in the categories of purchased goods and services, capital goods, and upstream transportation.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

	Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process	Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance	Comment
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts	*Select from:* ☑ Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance	*n/a*

[Fixed row]

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Setting a science-based emissions reduction target

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Second-party verification

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 26-50%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 26-50%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☑ 51-75%

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :Direct engagement with suppliers

(5.11.6.12) Comment

n/a
[Add row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ Emissions reduction

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

☑ Support suppliers to set their own environmental commitments across their operations

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 51-75%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

Elekta's target is to engage selected suppliers to have science-based targets by fiscal year 2026/27. The targeted selection corresponds to 45% of supply chain emissions.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes, please specify the environmental requirement **:**Setting a science-based emissions reduction target

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☑ No

[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Other education/information sharing, please specify **:**Sharing information on optimal product usage

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Engaging our customers on sustainability is essential. By informing them about the benefits of using renewable power to operate our products, we highlight advantages, such as reduced carbon footprints and potential cost savings. Additionally, providing clear disposal guidelines promotes materials efficiency, encouraging responsible disposal, recycling, and minimizing environmental impact.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Engagement fosters a culture of sustainability and shared responsibility, contributing to a more sustainable future.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Elekta engages with investors and shareholders on sustainability to ensure that business practices align with the expectations and values of our stakeholders.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

We can gather valuable insights through open dialogue and feedback to help shape Elekta's sustainability strategy and focus areas.
[Add row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

Climate change

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Elekta monitors its greenhouse gas emissions, in accordance with the global framework Greenhouse Gas Protocol (GHG Protocol). Elekta limits its report to properties controlled by the company (operational control) in accordance with the principles of the Greenhouse Gas Protocol. Operational control has been chosen since it provides our company with the best conditions for demonstrating statistics and data that can be influenced and acted upon.
[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	Select all that apply ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

(7.1.2.1) Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?

Select all that apply
☑ Yes, a change in methodology

(7.1.2.2) Details of methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition change(s)

During the reporting year Elekta has changed their prioritization of reported data for Scope 1 Mobile Combustion when several data types are available. Previously reported GHG emission data was prioritized over data on fuel consumption and distance. Elekta has found that the methodology and EFs used for accounting the GHG emissions data have been too varied and therefore unreliable. The methodology has thus changed to prioritize fuel consumption and distance data over reported GHG emissions. This has been reflected in a change for FY 22/23 and have not been a cause for change in base year 21/22 or recalculation of it.
[Fixed row]

(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?

(7.1.3.1) Base year recalculation

Select from:
☑ No, because the impact does not meet our significance threshold

(7.1.3.3) Base year emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold

Elekta has a recalculation policy in accordance with SBTi with a defined significance threshold of 5% or less. Additionally, in accordance with SBTi, Elekta has target a review every five years to ensure alignment with the latest SBTi criteria and scientific developments.

(7.1.3.4) Past years' recalculation

Select from:
☑ Yes
[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply
☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance

☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

(7.3.1) Scope 2, location-based

Select from:

☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

(7.3.2) Scope 2, market-based

Select from:

☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

(7.3.3) Comment

We report both location-based and market-based emissions. A market based method reflects emissions from electricity that companies have purposefully chosen (or their lack of choice). A location-based method reflects the average emissions intensity of grids on which energy consumption occurs (using mostly grid-average emission factor data).
[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5722.2

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions from Scope 1 Mobile Combustion were calculated using the distance-based and fuel-based methods as prescribed by the GHG Protocol. Where only cost spent on fuel was provided the cost was converted to liters. Emissions from Scope 1 Stationary Combustion were calculated using the average data method as prescribed by the GHG Protocol using the energy consumption in litres and kWh from the gas boiler. Emissions from Scope 1 Fugitive Emissions were assessed by getting data on the weight of refrigerant recovered at maintenance points and thereby assessing the refrigerant leakage. For Mobile Combustion, Stationary Combustion and Fugitive emissions DEFRA emission factors were used. Calculations were made with a third-party carbon accounting provider.

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6206

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions from Scope 2 under the location-based method were calculated using the activity-based method as prescribed by the GHG Protocol. The emissions from Electricity were calculated using the energy consumed for traction energy or on-site. Emission Factors used were residual mix EFs from DEFRA. Calculations were made with a third-party carbon accounting provider.

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2859.1

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions from Scope 2 under the market-based method were calculated using the activity-based method as prescribed by the GHG Protocol. The emissions from Electricity were calculated using the energy consumed for traction energy or on-site. Emission Factors used were residual mix EFs from DEFRA. Where Elekta had renewable electricity contractual agreements under the market-based method, the renewable electricity percentage was taken into account. Calculations were made with a third-party carbon accounting provider.

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

291584.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Elekta have calculated Purchased goods and services emissions using the spend based approach as prescribed by the GHG Protocol when more accurate data is not available. Emissions were calculated by mapping each activity falling into this scope 3 category to an environmentally extended input-output analysis, EEIO (specifically, Exiobase). Calculations were made with a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol, and have processed our general ledger, transforming our amount spent on goods and services into emissions.

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This category is not separated out from purchased goods and services as Elekta's expenditure on capex cannot be identified in the financial data as a separate supplier commodity.

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2211.7

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Based on the used volumes per fuel type (including bioenergy) and the kWh for electricity per use country, WTT emissions have been calculated using the respective emissions factors published by the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA /BEIS) and International Energy Agency (IEA). Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

17422

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The majority of logistics emissions were provided directly by Elekta's logistics providers. The suppliers have calculated the emission based on the activity data (transport mode, distance transported, weight transported) and matched with respective emission factors (well to wheel). Where only spend data was available this has been used, as approved by GHGP when no better data is available. This data was calculated using EFs from Exiobase. Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This category was covered by spend and thus categorized as Purchased goods and services. This has been updated to align with SBTi requirements and is now defined as Waste generated in operations. Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9810.4

(7.5.3) Methodological details

In part the travel emissions were provided directly by data from Elekta's travel agencies. The agencies calculated the emissions based on the activity data (travel mode, distance travelled) and matched with respective emission factors. For some parts the agencies provided activity data in distance and travel mode which has then been matched with corresponding EF (DEFRA). Where only spend data was available this was used, as approved by GHGP when no better data is available. This data was calculated using EFs from Exiobase. Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4648.3

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Elekta collected primary data through a survey on employees commuting habits, with specific data on modes of transport, distance, region and period worked in the reporting period. Employee commuting data was extrapolated to cover the whole workforce. The data was matched with respective WTW emission factors for each mode (DEFRA). Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Elekta does not lease any upstream assets with emissions that fall within scope 3 due to the set organisational boundary (emissions from leased buildings are included within scope 2 and emissions arising from leased vehicles are located within scope 1). Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

For Elekta’s business, the majority of all transport associated with Elekta’s products is captured within upstream transportation and distribution. Less than 5% of transport activities (approximately 0.1% of total scope 3 emissions) would fall into this category. Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Elekta’s products are deemed to be final products. Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

243221

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions from use of sold products were assessed by gathering data on the energy use for the full lifetime per Elekta's specific product types. This was matched with respective country's emission factor (using IEA, EPA and AIB) for where the products were sold to. This also includes energy use of the software infrastructure needed (consists of workstations, server racks and sequencers) to operate the machinery. Also captured within this category are emissions arising from expected lifetime leakage of SF6 (DEFRA). Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

200.4

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions from end of life treatment of sold products were assessed by gathering data on the waste types from products and packaging by weight. This was then matched with a conservative assumption of highest emitting waste treatment scenario (DEFRA), as prescribed by the GHG Protocol when more accurate data is not available. Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Elekta has no downstream leased assets. Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Elekta has no franchises. Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Elekta has no investments. Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Elekta has fully calculated all upstream emissions. Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

04/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Elekta has fully calculated all downstream emissions. Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol. [Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6140.777

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Emissions from Scope 1 Mobile Combustion were calculated using the distance-based and fuel-based methods as prescribed by the GHG Protocol. Where only cost spent on fuel was provided the cost was converted to liters. Emissions from Scope 1 Stationary Combustion were calculated using the average data method as prescribed by the GHG Protocol using the energy consumption in litres and kWh from the gas boiler. Emissions from Scope 1 Fugitive Emissions were assessed by getting data on the weight of refrigerant recovered at maintenance points and thereby assessing the refrigerant leakage. For Mobile Combustion, Stationary

Combustion and Fugitive emissions DEFRA emission factors were used. Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.
[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5015.573

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)

3760.228

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Emissions from Scope 2 under the market-based method were calculated using the activity-based method as prescribed by the GHG Protocol. The emissions from Electricity were calculated using the energy consumed for traction energy or on-site. Emission Factors used were residual mix EFs from IEA, AIB and EPA. Where Elekta had renewable electricity contractual agreements under the market-based method, the renewable electricity percentage was taken into account. Included in Scope 2 electricity are also emissions from electric cars that were calculated using the distance-based method as prescribed by the GHG Protocol. Conversions from distance to energy were made. The emissions from district heating have been defined as resulting in 0 emissions from provider Stockholm Exergi AB. Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.
[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

226439.287

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Supplier % Explainer: We have yet to engage suppliers in order to use supplier specific data for Purchased goods and services. Emissions Calculation methodology: Elekta have calculated Purchased goods and services emissions using the spend based approach as prescribed by the GHG Protocol when more accurate data is not available. Emissions were calculated by mapping each activity falling into this scope 3 category to an environmentally extended input-output analysis, EEIO (specifically, Exiobase). Elekta confirms that if there are any improved data or methodologies, they will be reflected in a rebaseline and will not be counted as reductions. General Calculation Methodology: Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol, which has processed our general ledger, transforming our amount spent on goods and services into emissions.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Supplier % Explainer: N/A Emissions Calculation methodology: This category is not separated out from purchased goods and services as Elekta's expenditure on capex cannot be identified in the financial data as a separate supplier commodity. Elekta acknowledges that this is an improvement area where the ambition is to be able to separate out expenditure on capital goods to allow for explaining potential baseline fluctuations caused by investments into capital intensive machinery/capital goods. Elekta confirms that if there are any improved data or methodologies, they will be reflected in a rebaseline and will not be counted as reductions. General

Calculation Methodology: Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol, which has processed our general ledger, transforming our amount spent on goods and services into emissions.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

2737.18

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Hybrid method

☑ Average data method

☑ Fuel-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

86

(7.8.5) Please explain

Supplier % Explainer: Elekta's suppliers for scope 1 and 2 have provided activity data (volume of fuel and kWh) which has been used as a basis to account for 86 % of emissions in Fuel-and-energy-related activities. Emissions Calculation methodology: Based on the used volumes per fuel type (including bioenergy) and the kWh for electricity per use country, WTT emissions have been calculated using the respective emissions factors published by the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA /BEIS) and International Energy Agency (IEA). Elekta confirms that if there are any improved data or methodologies, they will be reflected in a rebaseline and will not be counted as reductions. General Calculation Methodology: Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol, transforming our Scope 1 & 2 energy into their upstream emissions.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

16614.587

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

☑ Spend-based method

☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

93

(7.8.5) Please explain

Supplier % Explainer: Elekta's transport providers have provided supplier specific emissions data for the transport provided for 93 % of the emissions. Emissions Calculation methodology: The majority of logistics emissions were provided directly by Elekta's logistics providers. The suppliers have calculated the emission based on the activity data (transport mode, distance transported, weight transported) and matched with respective emission factors (well to wheel). Warehousing emissions account for the remaining 7 % which have been added with a spend-based method as prescribed by the GHG Protocol when more accurate data is not available. Elekta confirms that if there are any improved data or methodologies, they will be reflected in a rebaseline and will not be counted as reductions. General Calculation Methodology: Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

586.46

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

☑ Waste-type-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

6

(7.8.5) Please explain

Supplier % Explainer: We have reached out to our waste treatment providers who have provided us with waste type specific data for 6 % of the emissions. Emissions Calculation methodology: These emissions were in part calculated using activity data provided by waste treatment providers (kg amount of waste) and the waste disposal method to calculate the emissions with the emissions factors from DEFRA. The method was updated this year to align with SBTi requirements. Where activity data was not available, spend-data conversions were made using conversion factors from Avfall Sverige to calculate the spend to waste in weight to calculate the emissions with the emissions factors from DEFRA. Previous years waste generated in operations' spend-based emissions were accounted for in Purchased goods and services. General Calculation Methodology: Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

17071.19

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

☑ Spend-based method

☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

67

(7.8.5) Please explain

Supplier % Explainer: We have reached out to our business travel providers who have provided us GHG emission data as well as distance data for which emissions accounts for 67 % of emissions. Emissions Calculation methodology: The majority of the travel emissions were provided directly by data from Elekta's travel agencies. The agencies have calculated the emissions based on the activity data (travel mode, distance travelled) and matched with respective emission factors. For some parts the agencies have provided activity data in distance and travel mode which has then been matched with corresponding EF (DEFRA). Further, a hybrid method has been used as 67.2% of emissions within this category came directly from data from the suppliers and the remaining was based on a spend-based method, using Exiobase EFs. Elekta confirms that if there are any improved data or methodologies, they will be reflected in a rebaseline and will not be counted as reductions. General Calculation Methodology: Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

6737.75

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

☑ Distance-based method

☑ Other, please specify

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Supplier % Explainer: This is not relevant since there are no suppliers Elekta pays for this category. Emissions Calculation methodology: Elekta have collected primary data through a survey on employees commuting habits, with specific data on modes of transport, distance, region and period worked in the reporting period. Employee commuting data has then been extrapolated to cover the whole workforce. The data has been matched with respective WTW emission factors for each mode (DEFRA). The survey was conducted in 2024 with 523 respondents. General Calculation Methodology: Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Supplier % Explainer: N/A Emissions Calculation methodology: Elekta does not lease any upstream assets with emissions that fall within scope 3 due to the set organisational boundary (emissions from leased buildings are included within scope 2 and emissions arising from leased vehicles are located within scope 1). Elekta confirms that if there are any improved data or methodologies, they will be reflected in a rebaseline and will not be counted as reductions. General Calculation Methodology: Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Supplier % Explainer: N/A Emissions Calculation methodology: For Elekta's business, the majority of all transport associated with Elekta's products is captured within upstream transportation and distribution. Less than 5% of transport activities (approximately 0.1% of total scope 3 emissions) would fall into this category. Elekta does however closely monitor this and plans to add it to its own inventory, regardless of the small magnitude. Elekta confirms that if there are any improved data or methodologies, they will be reflected in a rebaseline and will not be counted as reductions. General Calculation Methodology: Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Supplier % Explainer: N/A Emissions Calculation methodology: Elekta's products are deemed to be final products. General Calculation Methodology: Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

261243.56

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

☑ Average product method

☑ Site-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Supplier % Explainer: This is not relevant since there are no suppliers Elekta pays for this category. Emissions Calculation methodology: Emissions from use of sold products were assessed by gathering data on the energy use for the full lifetime per Elekta's specific product types. This was then matched with respective country's emission factor (using IEA, EPA and AIB) for where the products were sold to. This also includes energy use of the software infrastructure needed (consists of workstations, server racks and sequencers) to operate the machinery. The servers consuming the largest amount of energy. Also captured within this category are emissions arising from expected lifetime leakage of SF6 (DEFRA). Elekta confirms that if there are any improved data or methodologies, they will be reflected in a rebaseline and will not be counted as reductions. General Calculation Methodology: Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

166.661

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

☑ Average product method

☑ Waste-type-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Supplier % Explainer: This is not relevant since there are no suppliers we pay for this category. Emissions Calculation methodology: Emissions from end of life treatment of sold products were assessed by gathering data on the waste types from products and packaging by weight. This was then matched with a conservative assumption of highest emitting waste treatment scenario (DEFRA), as prescribed by the GHG Protocol when more accurate data is not available. Elekta confirms that if there are any improved data or methodologies, they will be reflected in a rebaseline and will not be counted as reductions General Calculation Methodology: Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Supplier % Explainer: N/A Emissions Calculation methodology: Elekta has no downstream leased assets. General Calculation Methodology: Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Supplier % Explainer: N/A Emissions Calculation methodology: Elekta has no franchises. General Calculation Methodology: Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Supplier % Explainer: N/A Emissions Calculation methodology: Elekta has no investments. General Calculation Methodology: Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Supplier % Explainer: N/A Emissions Calculation methodology: Elekta has fully calculated all upstream emissions. General Calculation Methodology: Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Supplier % Explainer: N/A Emissions Calculation methodology: Elekta has fully calculated all downstream emissions. General Calculation Methodology: Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.
[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	*Select from:* ☑ No third-party verification or assurance

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:
☑ Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

n/a

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:
☑ ISAE3000

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:
☑ Scope 2 market-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:
☑ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

n/a

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☑ ISAE3000

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ Increased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

413

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

4.3

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Our scope 1 and 2 emissions grew by 3 percent due to a lower proportion of renewable electricity consumption and improvements in data quality. For scope 2, we had a decrease in renewable electricity use in 2023/24. We remain confident in our ability to transition towards 100 percent renewable electricity.

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

106

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

1.1

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Our scope 1 and 2 emissions grew by 3 percent due to a lower proportion of renewable electricity consumption and improvements in data quality. For scope 1, we improved our data tracking of SF6 use in manufacturing. We have improved the quality of data collected on employee leased vehicles. We have also updated local car fleet policies by setting a maximum emission target threshold for any new leases to lower the emission impact of our car fleet.

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

n/a

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

n/a

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

n/a

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

n/a

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

n/a

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

n/a

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

n/a

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

n/a
[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:
☑ Market-based

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.12.1) Provide the emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization in metric tons CO2.

	CO2 emissions from biogenic carbon (metric tons CO2)	Comment
	356.223	*From the use of biogas in Scope 1*

[Fixed row]

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Algeria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

50.039

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Australia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

29.186

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

29.186

Austria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

58.902

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.345

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.446

Belgium

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

38.769

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.121

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.329

Brazil

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

297.637

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.461

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.461

Canada

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

44.589

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

14.418

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.467

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

75.525

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1848.313

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1848.313

Czechia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

34.241

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.872

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.46

Egypt

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

201.789

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

34.529

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

34.529

Finland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

25.257

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.818

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

172.301

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

439.918

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.839

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Greece

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

35.951

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8.783

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

13.266

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

22.823

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

22.823

India

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5.473

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

124.063

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

124.063

Indonesia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

57.661

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

57.661

Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

293.621

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.789

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

263.73

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

110.379

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

110.379

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

297.087

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

36.215

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

36.215

Netherlands

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

265.975

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

274.769

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

132.775

New Zealand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Philippines

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

38.03

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

38.03

Poland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

198.46

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

47.359

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Portugal

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

40.529

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.829

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

25.424

Republic of Korea

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10.811

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Romania

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

40.215

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.76

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.884

Russian Federation

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.187

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.187

Serbia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

19.406

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Singapore

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

46.017

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

46.017

Slovakia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

24.797

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

South Africa

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

32.016

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

32.016

Spain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

43.318

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

37.953

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

63.775

Sweden

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1560.202

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.598

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.614

Switzerland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

19.824

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.082

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.088

Thailand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

42.12

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Turkey

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

270.036

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

112.313

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

100.598

Ukraine

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.073

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1086.468

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.065

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1294.438

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

420.999

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

465.202

Viet Nam

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

34.243

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

34.243
[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply
☑ By activity

(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	*Mobile combustion*	*4525.81*
Row 2	*Fugitive emissions*	*1533.61*
Row 4	*Stationary combustion*	*81.361*

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☑ By activity

(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	*District Heating*	*0*	*0*
Row 2	*Purchased Electricity*	*5015.573*	*3760.22*

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6140.777

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5015.573

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3760.228

(7.22.4) Please explain

All emissions fall within the consolidated accounting group.

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

All emissions fall within the consolidated accounting group.
[Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:
☑ Don't know

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ LHV (lower heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

2845.92

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

20693.38

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

23539.3

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ LHV (lower heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

8391.83

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

5747.14

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

14138.8

Consumption of purchased or acquired heat

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ LHV (lower heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

775.53

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

14.94

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

786.47

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ LHV (lower heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

12009.28

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

26455.47

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

38464.57
[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization’s consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	*Select from:* ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ LHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ LHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ LHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

1789.88

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ LHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ LHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ LHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

399.5

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ LHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

21349.92

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ LHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

23539.3

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Elekta has used a third-party carbon accounting provider that aligns it's methodology with the GHG Protocol.
[Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.

Row 1

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ Sweden

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

451

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Sweden

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

n/a
[Add row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Algeria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

3

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3.00

Australia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

47.74

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

47.74

Austria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

49.93

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

49.93

Belgium

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

9.21

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

9.21

Brazil

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

46.46

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

46.46

Canada

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

129.2

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

129.20

China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3097.04

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3097.04

Czechia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

10.7

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

10.70

Eygpt

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

85.59

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

85.59

Finland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

305.85

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

305.85

France

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Germany

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

33.12

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

33.12

Greece

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

24.96

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

24.96

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

35.63

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

35.63

India

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

174.66

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

174.66

Indonesia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

74.89

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

74.89

Italy

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

50.22

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

50.22

Japan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

241.64

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

241.64

Mexico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

85.59

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

85.59

Netherlands

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

927.21

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

927.21

New Zealand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Philippines

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

53.5

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

53.50

Poland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

62.52

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

62.52

Portugal

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

57.06

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

57.06

Republic of Korea

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Romania

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

24.96

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

24.96

Russian Federation

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

14.27

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

14.27

Serbia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Singapore

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

117.69

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

117.69

Slovakia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

South Africa

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

35.66

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

35.66

Spain

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

231.82

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

231.82

Sweden

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1624.14

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

792.47

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2416.61

Switzerland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

32.1

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

32.10

Thailand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Turkey

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

266.78

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

266.78

Ukraine

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

4931.5

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

4931.50

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1196.7

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1196.70

Viet Nam

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

60.63

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

60.63
[Fixed row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

5.5e-7

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

9901

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:
☑ unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

18119000000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

4

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☑ Change in revenue

(7.45.9) Please explain

Elekta's revenue increased more than scope 1 and 2 emissions year-on-year.
[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☑ Absolute target

☑ Intensity target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☑ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

Elekta - Near-Term Approval Letter.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☑ 1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

01/31/2023

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Methane (CH4)

☑ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☑ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)

☑ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF6)

☑ Nitrogen trifluoride (NF3)

☑ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

04/29/2022

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

5722

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

2859

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

8581.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

2

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

04/29/2032

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

46.2

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

4616.578

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

6141

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

3760

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

9901.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ Yes, it covers land-related emissions/removals associated with bioenergy and non-land related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT with bioenergy)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

-33.30

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:
☑ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Elekta's absolute emissions reduction target covers all scope 1 and 2 emissions; there are no exclusions.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Elekta's objective is to reduce scope 1 and 2 emissions within our operations by 46.2% by FY31/32.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Emissions in scope 1 and 2 increased by 3% during the reporting year. This is due to a lower proportion of renewable electricity consumption and efforts made to improve data quality. We have identified target activities to drive scope 1 and 2 reduction and improve sustainability data reporting processes.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:
☑ No
[Add row]

(7.53.2) Provide details of your emissions intensity targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Int 1

(7.53.2.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☑ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.2.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

Elekta - Near-Term Approval Letter.pdf

(7.53.2.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☑ Well-below 2°C aligned

(7.53.2.5) Date target was set

01/31/2023

(7.53.2.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.53.2.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Methane (CH4)

☑ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☑ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)

☑ Nitrogen trifluoride (NF3)

☑ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF6)

☑ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

(7.53.2.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3

(7.53.2.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

☑ Category 11: Use of sold products

☑ Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products

(7.53.2.11) Intensity metric

Select from:

☑ Metric tons CO2e per unit of service provided

(7.53.2.12) End date of base year

04/29/2022

(7.53.2.25) Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

0.075

(7.53.2.26) Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

0.00006

(7.53.2.32) Intensity figure in base year for total Scope 3 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

0.0750600000

(7.53.2.33) Intensity figure in base year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

0.0750600000

(7.53.2.46) % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products covered by this Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products intensity figure

100

(7.53.2.47) % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products covered by this Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products intensity figure

100

(7.53.2.53) % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories) covered by this total Scope 3 intensity figure

43

(7.53.2.54) % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes covered by this intensity figure

42

(7.53.2.55) End date of target

04/29/2032

(7.53.2.56) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

55

(7.53.2.57) Intensity figure at end date of target for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

0.0337770000

(7.53.2.59) % change anticipated in absolute Scope 3 emissions

26.7

(7.53.2.72) Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

0.072

(7.53.2.73) Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

0.00005

(7.53.2.79) Intensity figure in reporting year for total Scope 3 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

0.0720500000

(7.53.2.80) Intensity figure in reporting year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

0.0720500000

(7.53.2.81) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ Yes, it covers land-related emissions/removals associated with bioenergy and non-land related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT with bioenergy)

(7.53.2.82) % of target achieved relative to base year

7.29

(7.53.2.83) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.53.2.85) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Elekta is looking to set an intensity target based on emissions per radiotherapy treatment course as we see a need to both to drive down our environmental footprint and drive global access to cancer care. The target includes emissions from categories 11 and 12.

(7.53.2.86) Target objective

Elekta's objective is to reduce emissions per radiotherapy cancer treatment course by 55% by FY31/32.

(7.53.2.87) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Elekta's scope 3 emissions decreased by 13 percent. Our emissions intensity target remains on track.

(7.53.2.88) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☑ No

[Add row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☑ Targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production

(7.54.1) Provide details of your targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production.

Row 1

(7.54.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Low 1

(7.54.1.2) Date target was set

01/31/2023

(7.54.1.3) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.54.1.4) Target type: energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.54.1.5) Target type: activity

Select from:

☑ Consumption

(7.54.1.6) Target type: energy source

Select from:

☑ Renewable energy source(s) only

(7.54.1.7) End date of base year

04/29/2022

(7.54.1.8) Consumption or production of selected energy carrier in base year (MWh)

12796

(7.54.1.9) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy in base year

61

(7.54.1.10) End date of target

12/30/2030

(7.54.1.11) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy at end date of target

100

(7.54.1.12) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy in reporting year

59

(7.54.1.13) % of target achieved relative to base year

-5.13

(7.54.1.14) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.54.1.16) Is this target part of an emissions target?

Yes. This target will help us achieve our absolute emissions reduction in scope 1 and 2.

(7.54.1.17) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

☑ Science Based Targets initiative

(7.54.1.18) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

Elekta - Near-Term Approval Letter.pdf

(7.54.1.19) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

This target covers the electricity use in our own operations.

(7.54.1.20) Target objective

Use 100% renewable electricity by end calendar year 2030.

(7.54.1.21) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

We have identified target actions to increase renewable energy consumption.
[Add row]

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e (only for rows marked *)
Under investigation	*0*	*`Numeric input*
To be implemented	*0*	*0*
Implementation commenced	*3*	*80171*
Implemented	*0*	*0*
Not to be implemented	*0*	*`Numeric input*

[Fixed row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:
☑ Compliance with regulatory requirements/standards

(7.55.3.2) Comment

n/a

Row 2

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:
☑ Dedicated budget for low-carbon product R&D

(7.55.3.2) Comment

n/a

Row 3

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:
☑ Dedicated budget for other emissions reduction activities

(7.55.3.2) Comment

n/a
[Add row]

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization’s goods or services?

Select from:

☑ No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.

Row 1

(7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:

☑ Product or service

(7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

☑ No taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low carbon

(7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Other

☑ Other, please specify **:**Digital platform

(7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

Elekta offer a digital platform, Kaiku, to customers which enables remote care and reduces travel, for example. Kaiku Health is a platform for electronic patient-reported outcomes (ePRO) and an efficient tool for connecting clinicians and patients. It works by patients reporting their wellbeing via an app. The care team can

follow each patient and focus their resources on those whose reporting indicate they need care. Kaiku and similar ePROs are continually demonstrating improved survivorship and reduced adverse events resulting in an elevation of care as well as a reduced burden on acute treatments.

(7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

☑ No

(7.74.1.13) Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

0

[Add row]

(7.79) Has your organization canceled any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

	Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments
	Select from: ☑ No, and we do not plan to undertake any biodiversity-related actions

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: ☑ No

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

(13.1.1) Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party

Select from:

☑ No, but we plan to obtain third-party verification/assurance of other environmental information in our CDP response within the next two years

(13.1.2) Primary reason why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(13.1.3) Explain why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party

This has not been a strategic priority. Last year, we began with limited assurance of parts of our environmental information and we will increase the assurance obtained over the next few years.
[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Director Group Sustainability

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

☑ Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

[Fixed row]